Crystal and Molecular Structure of cis-6-Chloro-trans-7-chloro-cisbicyclo[3,2,0]heptan-2-one

By F. P. Boer * and P. P. North, The Dow Chemical Company, Midland, Michigan 48640, U.S.A.

The major product of photocycloaddition of *cis*-dichloroethylene to 2-cyclopentenone is established by a singlecrystal X-ray diffraction study to be cis-6-chloro-trans-7-chloro-cis-bicyclo[3,2,0]heptan-2-one. The configuration found is consistent with the suggestions that photoaddition begins at C(3) of the ketone and that rotational equilibration of the diradical intermediates is completed before ring closure.

This isomer of $C_7H_8Cl_2O$ crystallizes in space group $P2_1/c$, with Z = 4 in a unit cell of dimensions a = 6.191. b = 11.172, c = 11.709(Å), and β = 94.73°. The structure was solved from diffractometer data by reiterative application of Sayre's equation and refined by full-matrix least-squares to R 0.056 for the 1236 reflections above background. Both the four- and five-membered rings are markedly nonplanar.

THE products of the two-step photocycloaddition of 2cyclopentenone to cis- and trans-dichloroethylenes are isomeric 6,7-dichlorobicyclo[3,2,0]heptan-2-ones. The distribution of products suggests that the initial bond to the ketone in the photoaddition reaction forms at C(3),



and that the diradical intermediates are rotationally equilibrated before ring closure.¹ This interpretation,

¹ W. L. Dilling, T. E. Tabor, F. P. Boer, and P. P. North, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 1970, 92, 1399. ² T. N. Margulis, Acta Cryst., 1965, **18**, 724.

of course, depends on the correct identification of the product isomers.¹ The major product of photocycloaddition with cis-dichloroethylene was initially identified as (III) by n.m.r. methods.¹

The single-crystal X-ray diffraction study reported here was undertaken to confirm this result. In addition, we believe the molecular geometry of a bicyclo[3,2,0]heptane derivative may be of interest since this system has been previously described only as a fragment of a larger system of fused rings.^{2,3}

Description of the Structure.—The X-ray diffraction results confirm that the product is indeed (III) (Figure 1), a bicyclo[3,2,0]heptan-2-one with *cis*-ring fusion at C(1) and C(5), and with Cl(6) cis (or endo) and Cl(7) trans (or exo). Neither ring is planar. The five-membered ring has an envelope conformation where C(3), C(4), and C(5)form a 'flap' making a dihedral angle of $153\cdot3^{\circ}$ with a least-squares plane through C(1), C(2), C(3), C(5), and O. (The latter five atoms are nearly coplanar.) The envelope is folded so that the flap is bent in the endo-

³ Y. Okaya and A. Bednowitz, Acta Cryst., 1967, 22, 111; J. Carnduff, J. Iball, D. G. Leppard, and J. N. Low, Chem. Comm., 1969, 1218.

direction. By puckering, the ring relieves eclipsing interactions between hydrogens on C(3) and C(4). This conformation differs somewhat from that found in tricyclo [5,3,0,0^{2,6}] decane-3,8-dione² (V), the centrosymmetric photodimer of cyclopentenone. In compound (V), which has a planar four-membered ring, the carbon (The equation of the best least-squares plane through C(1)—(3) and O is 5.633x + 0.377y - 5.702z = -1.268).

The dihedral angle in the four-membered ring is $149 \cdot 3^{\circ}$, with the ring bent in a direction which relieves a possible interaction between Cl(6) and H(4B) (Figure 1). The $Cl(6) \cdots H(4B)$ intramolecular contact is 2.816 Å.



FIGURE 1 Stereodiagram of the molecule with the ellipsoids of thermal motion drawn at 50% probability (root-mean-square displacements along the principal axes are given in Table 3)

atoms analogous to C(4) and C(5) are respectively 0.45and 0.21 Å above the plane formed by C(2) and its three



substituents,² whereas, in the conformation adopted by (III), C(4) is 0.38 above and C(5) 0.04 Å below this plane.

	1110		
	Bond ang	les (deg.)*	
C(2) - C(1) - C(5)	106.8(3)	C(4) - C(5) - C(1)	$104 \cdot 8(3)$
$\hat{C}(2) - \hat{C}(1) - \hat{C}(7)$	$114 \cdot 2(3)$	C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	117.1(3)
C(2) - C(1) - H(1)	117.0(21)	C(4) - C(5) - H(5)	$105 \cdot 8(27)$
C(5)-C(1)-C(7)	87.3(3)	C(1) - C(5) - C(6)	87.7(3)
C(5) - C(1) - H(1)	116.7(21)	C(1) - C(5) - H(5)	120.4(28)
C(7)–C(1)–H(1)	111·2(23)	C(6)–C(5)–H(5)	120.0(29)
C(1) - C(2) - C(3)	$108 \cdot 1(3)$	C(5) - C(6) - Cl(6)	120.1(3)
$\dot{O} - \dot{C}(2) - \dot{C}(3)$	126·0(3)	C(5) - C(6) - C(7)	88·6(3)
C(1)–Ć(2)–Ó	125·8(3)	C(5) - C(6) - H(6)	111.6(29)
	.,	Cl(6) - C(6) - C(7)	116·7(3)
C(2) - C(3) - C(4)	$106 \cdot 6(3)$	Cl(6) - C(6) - H(6)	107.1(29)
C(2) - C(3) - H(3A)	$108 \cdot 2(41)$	C(7) - C(6) - H(6)	111.9(31)
C(2) - C(3) - H(3B)	$106 \cdot 2(23)$. ,
C(4) - C(3) - H(3A)	107.7(39)	C(6) - C(7) - Cl(7)	$118 \cdot 2(3)$
C(4) - C(3) - H(3B)	$115 \cdot 8(23)$	C(6) - C(7) - C(1)	88·2(3)
H(3A) - C(3) - H(3B)	112.0(47)	C(6) - C(7) - H(7)	114.3(22)
	· · ·	CI(7) - C(7) - C(1)	118·6(3)
C(3) - C(4) - C(5)	$106 \cdot 1(3)$	Cl(7) - C(7) - H(7)	105.8(20)
C(3) - C(4) - H(4A)	113.9(26)	C(1) - C(7) - H(7)	111.4(19)
C(3) - C(4) - H(4B)	$111 \cdot 6(22)$		
C(5) - C(4) - H(4A)	110-2(25)		
C(5) - C(4) - H(4B)	114.6(21)		
H(4A) - C(4) - H(4B)	100.7(33)		

TABLE 1

* Throughout these Tables standard errors, in parentheses, were calculated from the variance-covariance matrix in the final least-squares cycle (ref. 6).

The value of the dihedral angle is fairly typical for puckered cyclobutanes.⁴

Bond angles (Table 1) in the five-membered ring range between 104.8 and 108.1° . The largest of these values, that for C(2), is considerably less than the 120° angle ' normal ' for sp^2 hybridized carbon. Bond angles in the four-membered ring are all between 87.3 and 88.2° , with errors of $\pm 0.3^{\circ}$. On the other hand, the bridgehead angles C(2)-C(1)-C(7) (114·2°) and C(4)-C(5)-C(6)(117.1°) are considerably larger than normal tetrahedral angles, as are the C-C-Cl angles $(116.7-120.1^{\circ})$.

There is considerable interest ^{2,4} in cyclobutane structures because of the long C-C single bonds reported for some of these molecules. However, the bond distances (Table 2) in the present structure (1.537, 1.538, 1.512, and1.550 Å, all ± 0.005 Å), do not appear to be significantly

TABLE 2 Bond distances (Å) C(1)-H(1) C(3)-H(3A) 1.501(5)1.14(4)1.510(5)0.87(6)1.493(6) C(3)-H(3B)1.07(4)1.531(6)-H(4A)1.06(5)C(4) 1.537(5) 1.00(4)C(4) - H(4B)1.538(5) C(5) - H(5)0.89(5)-C(6) 1.512(5) C(6) - H(6)0.97(5) -C(7)1.550(5) 1.04(4)C(7) - H(7)1.201(4)C(2) - OC(6) - Cl(6)1.771(4)C(7)-C1(7) 1.772(4)

elongated beyond the standard ⁵ C-C single bond distance of 1.541 Å. Bond lengths in the five-membered ring are similarly normal, as are the C=O and C-Cl distances.⁵ The chlorine atoms show fairly large and anisotropic amplitudes of thermal motion. These are illustrated as

⁴ B. Greenberg and B. Post, Acta Cryst., 1968, B24, 918.

⁵ Chem. Soc. Special Publ., No. 11, 1958.

root-mean-square displacements in Table 3 (calculated by a program described in ref. 7).

TABLE 3

Root-mean-square	displacements	along	principal	axes	*
1000-moan-square	uispiacomento	aiong	principai	anco	

	Axis (1)	Axis (2)	Axis (3)
C1(6)	0.204(2)	0.311(2)	0.345(2)
C1(7)	0.207(2)	0.292(2)	0.386(2)
0	0.207(4)	0.263(3)	0.289(3)
C(1)	0.214(4)	0.228(4)	0.241(4)
C(2)	0.200(4)	0.232(4)	0.240(4)
C(3)	0.220(5)	0.254(5)	0.292(5)
C(4)	0.223(5)	0.251(5)	0.323(5)
C(5)	0.208(5)	0.242(4)	0.269(5)
C(6)	0.198(5)	0.262(5)	0.267(5)
C(7)	0.200(4)	0.218(4)	0.259(4)

* Ordered on increasing magnitude.

The crystals pack (Figure 2) ⁶ with the carbonyl dipoles aligned parallel to y and opposed along centres of symmetry. The closest $C \cdots O$ and $C \cdots C$ intermolecular





EXPERIMENTAL

Crystal Data.—C₇H₈Cl₂O, $M = 179 \cdot 13$, $a = 6 \cdot 191 \pm$ 0.005, $b = 11.172 \pm 0.008$, $c = 11.709 \pm 0.008$ Å, $\beta =$ 94.73(4)°, U = 807.0 Å³, $D_m = 1.47$, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.474$, F(000) = 400. Space group $P2_1/c$ (C_{2h}^5) from systematic absences: h0l for l odd and 0k0 for k odd. Mo- K_{α} radiation, $\lambda = 0.71069$ Å; $\mu(Mo-K_{\alpha}) = 7.19$ cm⁻¹. The crystal used in the present study, of dimensions ca. 0.77 imes 1.00 imes 2.28mm, was cleaved with a razor from a large irregular specimen, and was carefully centred on a Picker four-circle automatic goniostat, with its long dimension (parallel to [011]) collinear with ϕ . Lattice constants were calculated by least-squares refinement of the setting angles of 11 reflections. The intensities of 1375 reflections were measured by use of the θ -2 θ scan mode and Mo- K_{α} radiation selected using the (100) reflection of a highly oriented graphite crystal monochromator. A 4° take-off angle was used, and



FIGURE 2 Molecular packing in a unit cell of the molecule. The z axis is horizontal and y is vertical

distances (3.250 and 3.584 Å) (Table 4), arise from this type of interaction. The four-membered rings are

TABLE 4

Intermolecular contacts <4 Å, excluding those involving hydrogen

$Cl(6) \cdot \cdot \cdot Cl(7^{I})$	3.645	$O \cdots O_{\Lambda II}$	3.341
$Cl(6) \cdots C(4^{II})$	3.788	$O \cdot \cdot \cdot C(6^{\nabla I})$	3.370
$Cl(6) \cdots O^{III}$	3.886	$\mathbf{O} \cdot \cdot \cdot \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{3^{v_1}})$	3.434
$Cl(6) \cdots Cl(7^{IV})$	3.901	$O \cdot \cdot \cdot C(3^{VIII})$	3.449
$Cl(6) \cdots C(4^{v})$	3.950	$O \cdot \cdot \cdot C(4^{v_1})$	3.785
$Cl(6) \cdots C(5^{V})$	3.994	$O \cdot \cdot \cdot C(1^{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{i}})$	3.848
$O \cdot \cdot \cdot C(2^{\mathbf{v}\mathbf{i}})$	$3 \cdot 250$	$C(2) \cdot \cdot \cdot C(2^{VI})$	3.584
$O \cdots C(7^{VI})$	3.319		

Roman numerals as superscripts refer to the following equivalent positions relative to the reference molecule at x, y, z:

$I = 1 - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$	V x = 1, y, z
II $-x, 1-\bar{y}, 1-\bar{z}$	VI $-x, -y, 1-z$
III $-x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$	VII $-x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$
IV $x, \frac{1}{2} - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$	VIII $1 - x, -y, \bar{1} - z$

oriented approximately parallel to the xy plane. A remarkable feature of the packing is that all but one of the

a detector aperture 6.0 mm square was placed 30 cm from the crystal. Scan angles were from $2 \cdot 2 - 2 \cdot 5^{\circ}$ over the range $0-50^{\circ}$ of 20 examined. Attenuators were used to prevent the count rate exceeding 12,000 s and the scan speed was 2° min⁻¹. Background counts of 10 s were taken at each end of the scan by the stationary-crystal-stationary-counter technique. The (011) reflection was monitored after every 50 measurements and showed good stability. An error $\sigma(I) =$ $[(0.02I)^2 + N_o + k^2 N_b]^{\frac{1}{2}}$ was assigned to the net intensity $I = N_{\rm o} - k N_{\rm b}$ in order to establish the weights $w = 4 F^2 / k^2$ $\sigma^2(F^2)$ for subsequent least-squares refinement, where N_0 is the gross count, $N_{\rm b}$ is the background count, k is the ratio of scan time to background time, and F^2 are the intensities corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects by the expression $L_{\rm p}^{-1} = (2\sin 2\theta)/(\cos^2 2\theta_{\rm m} + \cos^2 2\theta)$ where $2\theta_{\rm m}$ is the monochromator setting angle. The 139 reflections for which either I < 0 or $\sigma(I)/I > 0.5$ were not used in the structure analysis. No absorption corrections were made.

Solution and Refinement of the Structure.---A scale factor and overall temperature factor were computed by Wilson's method and used to calculate a set of normalized structure factors. The structure was solved by reiterative application

7 A modified version of the Busing, Martin and Levy FOR-TRAN function and error program, OR-FFE, by J. Gvildys, Argonne National Laboratory Program Library B 115.

⁶ ORTEP, a FORTRAN thermal ellipsoid plot program by C. K. Johnson, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

of Sayre's equation ⁸ to a set of 209 E values >1.5. The correct solution, which assumed positive signs for $(\mathbf{I}, 1, 3)$, (0,2,3), (2,5,1), (1,3,0), and (1,13,0) and negative signs for $(\overline{1},5,3)$ and (3,1,7), gave a consistency index C = $\langle |E_{\mathbf{h}}\Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}E_{\mathbf{k}}E_{\mathbf{h}-\mathbf{k}}| \rangle / \langle |E_{\mathbf{h}}|\Sigma_{\mathbf{k}}|E_{\mathbf{k}}||E_{\mathbf{h}-\mathbf{k}}| \rangle = 0.94$ after six cycles. The chlorine, oxygen, and carbon atoms were located in an E-map⁸ based on the 209 signs, and a structure-factor calculation gave R 0.414 and the weighted value R' 0.629 $\{R' = [\Sigma w (F_{o} - F_{c})^{2} / \Sigma w F_{o}^{2}]^{\frac{1}{2}}\}.$

After three cycles of full-matrix least-squares refinement of positions and isotropic temperature factors, with a for the chlorine, oxygen, and carbon atoms, R was reduced to 0.084 and R' to 0.142 after two cycles of refinement. The hydrogen atoms (whose scattering factors were taken from ref. 13) were now readily identified in an electrondensity difference map, with peak heights between 0.87 and 1.14 eÅ⁻³. Five more cycles of full-matrix refinement, with anisotropic thermal parameters for chlorine, oxygen, and carbon, and isotropic parameters for hydrogen converged at $R \ 0.056$ and $R' \ 0.087$. The mean parameter shift in the final cycle was $< 0.01\sigma$, while the maximum shift was 0.09σ . A difference-Fourier map from which all atoms had been

TABLE 5

Final atom parameters $(\times 10^4)$ with estimated standard errors in parentheses

	x a	у/b	z c	β11 *	β_{22}	β_{33}	β_{12}	β_{18}	β_{23}
C1(6)	-2587(2)	4058(1)	4041(1)	441(4)	90(1)	166(1)	70(2)	12(2)	-12(1)
C1(7)	-3379(2)	1098(1)	2309(1)	447 (5)	139(1)	138(1)	10(2)	-114(2)	-27(1)
0`′	2005(4)	-348(2)	4189(2)	407(9)	76(2)	100(2)	38(4)	16(4)	6(2)
C(1)	954(6)	1517(3)	3271(3)	283(10)	76(3)	78(3)	5(4)	19(4)	6(2)
C(2)	1974(5)	726(3)	4203(3)	246(9)	78(3)	79(3)	24(4)	16(4)	1(2)
C(3)	2881(7)	1515(4)	5175(4)	342(12)	101(4)	95(3)	10(6)		2(3)
C(4)	1912(8)	2727(4)	4965(4)	360(13)	89(4)	128(4)	3(6)	-30(6)	-21(3)
C(5)	1350(6)	2816(3)	3669(3)	293(11)	77(3)	100(3)	-14(5)	21(5)	13(3)
C(6)	-1028(6)	3077(3)	3254(3)	339(12)	75(3)	94(3)	25(5)	0(5)	16(3)
C(7)	-1496(6)	1754(3)	3350(3)	274(10)	70(3)	80(3)	10(4)	-20(4)	3(2)

Hydrogen atom parameters (\times 10⁴) and isotropic temperature factors

				B/A ²
H(1)	1309(72)	1298(36)	2357(38)	6.6(1.1
H(3A)	4276(99)	1578(53)	5134(52)	9.0(1.6
H(3B)	2500(71)	1083(39)	5947(36)	6.2(1.1
H(4A)	2954(74)	3442(44)	5253(39)	7.0(1.1
H(4B)	659(66)	2866(34)	5438(31)	4.7(0.9
H(5)	2407(75)	3245(40)	3401(34)	5.8(1.0
H(6)	-1172(77)	3346(46)	2466(42)	$7 \cdot 1(1 \cdot 1)$
H(7)	-1984(55)	1493(36)	4141(30)	4.4(0.8

* Anisotropic temperature factors are in the form exp $\left[-(h^2\beta_{11}+k^2\beta_{22}+l^2\beta_{33}+2hk\beta_{12}+2hl\beta_{13}+2kl\beta_{23})\right]$.

program described in ref. 9 (atomic scattering factors for chlorine, oxygen and carbon taken from ref. 10), R was 0.216 and R' 0.307. An analysis of R as a function of sin θ showed an increase from ca. 0.14 for the innermost reflections to 0.40 for the outermost. The most noticeable features of a difference-Fourier map calculated ¹¹ at this stage were the large residuals in the vicinity of the chlorine atoms. However, after anisotropic temperature factors were introduced, by use of a program described in ref. 12,

* For details of Supplementary Publications see Notice to Authors No. 7 in J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1970, Issue No. 20 (items less than 10 pp. will be supplied as full size copies).

⁸ D. Sayre, Acta Cryst., 1952, 5, 60; R. E. Long, 'A Program for Phase Determination by Reiterative Application of Sayre's Equation,' Ph.D. Thesis, University of California at Los Angeles, 1965.

⁹ DOWLS, an isotropic least-squares refinement program for the 1130 computer by F. P. Boer and F. P. van Remoortere.

subtracted showed no peaks >0.33 eÅ⁻³. Atomic parameters and their standard deviations as calculated in the final least-squares cycle are listed in Table 5. Observed and calculated structure factors are listed in Supplementary Publication No. SUP 20293 (2 pp., 1 microfiche).*

We thank Wendell Dilling for supplying the crystals and for his continuing interest in this problem.

[1/1618 Received, 6th September, 1971]

¹⁰ 'International Tables for X-Ray Crystallography,' vol. III,

Kynoch Press, Birmingham, 1962, p. 201. ¹¹ Argonne National Laboratory Program Library, B 149, a version of Shoemaker, Sly, and Van der Hende's ERFR 2 by J. Gvildys. ¹² ANL FLS 14E, by J. Gvildys, a version of Busing, Martin,

and Levy's OR FLS).

¹³ R. F. Stewart, E. R. Davidson, and W. T. Simpson, J. Chem. Phys. 1965 42 3175.